Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 1) – Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities (Priority 1).

Conditions and Climate Local Indicators

Basics: Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities
This measure addresses the percentage of appropriately assigned teachers; students’ access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials; and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

Teacher Mis-Assignments and Vacant Teacher Positions
In June 2022, the California Department of Education released the first-ever release of statewide Teaching Assignment Monitoring Outcome (AMO) data. This information, from the 2020–21 school year, provides a snapshot, broken down by county, district, and school, that shows how teachers are authorized to teach their assigned courses based on a variety of factors, including the subject area of the course and the number of students enrolled in the course. You can access this local educational agency’s Teacher AMO report at (https://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/dataquest.asp)

Standard: Local educational agency (LEA) annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the California School Dashboard (Dashboard).

Instructions: Local educational agency uses locally available information, including data currently reported through the School Accountability Report Card, and determines
whether it report the results to its local governing board and through the self-reflection tool below.

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

**Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions:** *0*

**Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home:** *0*

**Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies):** *0*

**Criteria:**

*Optional:* Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

**Date taken to local governing board:** *October 10, 2022*

**Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 2) – Implementation of State Academic Standards**

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards (Priority 2).
Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the California School Dashboard (Dashboard).

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflective tools below and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the Dashboard.

Local educational agencies may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, local educational agencies may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Option 1: Narrative Summary

In the narrative box, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the local educational agency is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education and briefly describe why the local educational agency chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the local educational agency’s progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
- English Language Development (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science
- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to
Option 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the local educational agency's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

   Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

   English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
   1 2 3 4 5

   English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)
   1 2 3 4 5

   Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
   1 2 3 4 5

   Next Generation Science Standards
   1 2 3 4 5

   History-Social Science
   1 2 3 4 5

2. Rate the local educational agency's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.
Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)

1 2 3 4 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

1 2 3 4 5

Next Generation Science Standards

1 2 3 4 5

History-Social Science

1 2 3 4 5

3. Rate the local educational agency’s progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing)

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts

1 2 3 4 5
Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the local educational agency’s progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education for all students.

*Rating Scale (lowest to highest):* 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Career Technical Education

1 2 3 4 5

Health Education Content Standards

1 2 3 4 5

Physical Education Model Content Standards

1 2 3 4 5

Visual and Performing Arts

1 2 3 4 5

World Language
Support for Teachers and Administrators

Rate the LEA’s success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole

Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers

Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered

Criteria:

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for implementation of state academic standards.

As a new leadership team, we are working with the staff to develop an intervention program to assure the pathway to academic success.

Date taken to local governing board: * October 10, 2022

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 3) – Parent and Family Engagement
This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement (Priority 3).

**Standard:** The local educational agency (LEA) annually reports progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the California School Dashboard (Dashboard).

**Criteria:** The LEA will assess its performance on a (Met, Not Met, or Not Met for Two or More Years) scale.

**Evidence:** The LEA measures its progress using the self-reflection tool included in the Dashboard, and reports these results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

**Introduction:** This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress, successes, and areas of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff
and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA’s current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

   1 – Exploration and Research Phase
   2 – Beginning Development
   3 – Initial Implementation
   4 – Full Implementation
   5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.

5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

- **1. Rate the LEA’s progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.**
  Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

  --Select One--
  1 – Exploration and Research Phase
  2 – Beginning Development
  3 – Initial Implementation
  4 – Full Implementation
  5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

- **2. Rate the LEA’s progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.**
  Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA’s current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.*

   At America’s Finest Charter School, technology is utilized to increase home-school communication. Teachers use Class Dojo to regularly stay in contact with families regarding academic progress, as well as social-emotional supports. To further engage families in the school’s program and strengthen relationships, we also have meetings, teachers and parents conferences, and activities include but are not limited to: Curriculum Night/Open House, Math Night, Parent-Teacher Conference, Flyers and School-Related Information, and Monthly Letters Communication. In the coming academic year, we also will be providing workshops and webinars to teach families how to use the Parent Portal for statewide testing and the school grading system.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA’s focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.*
Based on the survey data for 2021-22, the families have requested more assistance with learning English and workshops to increase their capacity to help their child(ren) be successful in school. In response to these requests, in the coming year we will offer ESL classes to parents/guardians, increase the number of schoolwide parent conference events, and hold more workshops on supporting their children in the home.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.*

As mentioned previously, our community consists of Spanish, Amharic, Russian and Vietnamese speaking parents which creates a language barrier to the active participation of these families. To address this, AFCS offers live translation for non-English speaking parents for both one-on-one and schoolwide gatherings of parents.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

5. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school’s capacity to partner with families.*
   Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
   --Select One--
   1 – Exploration and Research Phase
   2 – Beginning Development
   3 – Initial Implementation
   4 – Full Implementation
   5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

6. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.*
   Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes. *

Text is limited to 3000 characters

America's Finest Charter School (AFCS) is committed to building strong relationships with parents as partners in education. Parents have many opportunities to be involved at AFCS. AFCS has formed a group called “Friends of AFCS” including administrators, teachers, classified staff and parents to provide after school activities and fundraising events.

The school has an “open door” policy where school administrators meet regularly with parents (scheduled and unscheduled), and encourages feedback during our ELAC and other parent meetings. AFCS families also have opportunities to provide feedback when they complete the Annual LCAP Survey. Translation services are provided in all parents communications and events, including on-site translation, monthly newsletters in different languages, school messenger-an autodialer
messages system to parents/guardians in English and Spanish. Parents fill out volunteer interest forms and are invited to help in the class and with outside class assignments.

- **2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.**
  - Text is limited to 3000 characters

Based on the survey data for 2021-22, the families have requested more assistance workshops to increase their capacity to help their child(ren) be successful in school. In response to these requests, in the coming year we will increase the number of schoolwide parent conference events, and hold more workshops on supporting their children in the home. We also will be engaging in targeted outreach to our AAPI community to build relationships and increase their representation in the student body enrollment.

- **3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.**
  - Text is limited to 3000 characters

According to our population data, we need to reach out more to the Asian families and communities in order to improve their engagement and representation by placing ads in cultural/ethnicity-specific newspapers and inviting more local businesses to our events.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

- **9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.**
  - Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
10. Rate the LEA’s progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making. *
   Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

11. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community. *
   Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

12. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels. *
   Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

**Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes**

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA’s current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making. *
   Text is limited to 3000 characters
Parent involvement has been at the core of decision-making processes for America’s Finest Charter since its opening in 2011. The Board of Directors for America’s Finest Charter is composed of several members of each of the following stakeholder groups: staff, parents and leaders from the community. The Board of Directors meets monthly to fulfill the goals of the Board and school leadership. America’s Finest Charter School involves English speaking and non-English speaking parents. Involvement opportunities include: English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) parents meetings and School Site Council (SSC). Parents participated in school events: Curriculum Night/Open House, Math Night, International Fair, and Arts in the Afternoon Gala.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA’s focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

AFCS needs to increase the capacity of parent leaders to engage in outreach and serve on various school committees. The AFCS leadership will be seeking more opportunities to raise the profile of the school in the surrounding community, provide additional parent trainings to increase and sustain engagement.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

The 2022-23 academic year will mark a renewed commitment to targeted outreach for our underrepresented communities so they may become active participants in the decision-making structures currently in place.

Date taken to local governing board: *October 10, 2022

Self-Reflection Tool *(Priority 6)* – School Climate
This is the submission form for the local educational agency (LEA) coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for school climate (Priority 6).

**Standard:** The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the California School Dashboard.

**Evidence:** The LEA administers a survey, as specified, and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard. LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate. The following are suggested guiding questions to help frame the narrative summary:

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Text is limited to 3000 characters

AFCS implemented a schoolwide Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports (PBIS) this school year. The three behavioral expectations are respect, responsibility and community. We have a matrix that is easy and explicit that has been posted on our campus including classrooms, lunch area, and outside areas for our students and families. The new system includes rewards for exhibiting these expectations campus wide. Field trips, frozen yogurt, and pizza parties are all a part of our new system that is in place. “Classroom Points” are recorded through Class Dojo. Any staff members can give students points when they are exhibiting these behavioral expectations. America’s Finest Charter School recently conducted a School Climate Survey as a local measure to evaluate students perceptions and school connectedness. The survey was sent to 103 students
in grades 3-12. At AFCS, we place the highest value on school safety and ensuring that students feel connected to school. AFCS used Google Forms to conduct a comprehensive analysis of our recent student survey results. We are able to provide the following summaries for specific items which are most relevant to our student population and school mission:

1) 78% of students surveyed feel that “all teachers always treat students with respect”
2) 75% of students surveyed feel that “the school always has clear rules for behavior”
3) 75% of students surveyed feel that “the school always wants the students do well in education”

One area that we are working on is that students treat each other well. Many activities and classroom lessons are helping our school with this area. We have Restorative Justice Circles to help students when they do not treat others respectfully. We will conduct this survey by the end of the school year to evaluate our PBIS system.

Criteria:

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on school climate.

Text is limited to 1500 characters

Date taken to local governing board: * October 10, 2022

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 7) – Access to a Broad Course of Study

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board at regularly scheduled meetings of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA responds to the self-reflection tools as specified and reports the results to its local governing board and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.
Approach for Self-Reflection Tool to Use as Evidence

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.

Text is limited to 1500 characters

America’s Finest Charter uses AERIES Student Information System (SIS) to track all of the students' progress on their curriculum and assessment. We also conduct a review of the Master Schedule in order to examine the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study. All K-6 students are enrolled in self-contained classrooms where instruction in English/language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies. Students in our Special Education Program have access to all of the curriculum with modifications or accommodations based on their IEP. Our English learners are provided both Integrated and Designated English Language Development (ELD) daily, and are further supported by a recently purchased curriculum that scaffolds learning according to the students' level of English proficiency. We consistently monitor the progress of all of our special populations using available state and local assessments.

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

Text is limited to 1500 characters

We are WASC accredited. All students at America’s Finest Charter School in Grades TK through 12 have access to a broad course of study. All curriculum is aligned to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). All students in grades TK-8 are automatically enrolled in ELA, math, science, and social studies. Our curriculum provides scaffolding to address the needs of students requiring additional support and accelerating students that are proficient and advanced. All of the high school courses have been approved by University of California to meet A-G requirements for content and rigor. High school students are also provided with college awareness courses.
3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.

Text is limited to 1500 characters

At America’s Finest Charter School, we are rich in diversity- linguistically, culturally and ethnically. Not all students are prepared adequately to engage in the rigorous curriculum that has been implemented. The biggest barrier to full implementation is time to provide the needed interventions and support academically while balancing the need to offer school activities similar to those of a traditional district school. Individual academic plans are developed to assure that all students with low achieving academically receive the additional support needed to succeed at America’s Finest Charter School. Our high school offers AP classes as well as dual enrollment with San Diego City College to ensure that all high school students complete the A-G requirements needed to enter college. Our staff will track students in AP classes as well as dual enrollment to measure student participation and success in these courses.

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students?

Text is limited to 1500 characters

AFCS is determined that all students will have full access to the broad course of study. Additional special classes that are added will be made available at all times for the students. Proper staffing will be hired to assure that all students have access to the broad course of study.

Additional information about enrollment in courses and the number of courses offered in different subjects at schools is available on the California Department of Education DataQuest web page.

Date taken to local governing board: * October 10, 2022